Law books guide

The general guidelines also apply to most aspects of Law books, but in addition, please see the below information on style and legal tables (if applicable).

Style

- Footnote referencing is acceptable for Law books. A bibliography is also acceptable but may not be necessary if full reference details are included in the footnotes
- Use an appropriate legal citation style for the content of the book and ensure it is used consistently. Further resources can be found as follows:

OSCOLA https://www.law.ox.ac.uk/sites/files/oxlaw/oscola-4th-edn-hart-2012.pdf

Bluebook https://www.legalbluebook.com/

The Chicago Manual of Style https://www.chicagomanualofstyle.org/home.html

The Canadian (McGill) Guide to Legal Citation https://lawjournal.mcgill.ca/cite-guide/

The Australian Guide to Legal Citation https://law.unimelb.edu.au/mulr/aglc/about

- It may also be helpful to include a List of Abbreviations. This should be supplied with the manuscript and included in the prelims. See the <u>front matter guide</u>
- For a more detailed style guide, please get in touch with your Editorial contract

Legal tables (if applicable)

If required, legal tables should be submitted with the manuscript as Word files and referenced in the table of contents.

- The legal tables for your book will be prepared at the same time as the first proofs are being read
- When the production editor knows the schedule for your book you will be asked to confirm the arrangements made with your editor for tabling:
 - Either to prepare your own tables, add page numbers to the tables provided with the manuscript
 - If you prepare your own tables, you will be advised of any length restrictions and given a return date for the tables.
 - Or for Taylor & Francis to arrange for a professional freelance tabler to compile/add page numbers to the tables for you

• If the tables are prepared by a freelancer they will be sent to you for approval before they are typeset and the cost of compilation will usually be charged to your royalties account.

Table of cases

- All entries must be in strict alphabetical order
- Each new entry should begin on a new line
- Entries for names beginning with Saint or Mac should go in alphabetical order as if they were spelt out, even if they are contracted to St or Mc
- Use minimum numbers for page spans, i.e. 36–7, 207–8, but for teens repeat the teen digit, i.e. 114–15. Use a single hyphen to indicate an en rule in page spans
- Do not include entries which appear in the notes or prelims, except where there is lengthy argument which is really an extension of the text. If you do need to include a citation which is within the notes, add 'n' plus the note number after its page reference, e.g. 48n2
- For sub-entries (i.e. for the Table of Statutes) please list these on a new line, indented, under the main entry. A sample is given below
- Please present the case/statute/legislation exactly as it appears in the text, and check its accuracy in both places against a legal database, i.e. case names in italics
- Please ensure the correct usage of brackets is instated: () or []

Table of legislation

- A table of legislation can be divided into jurisdictions as follows: UK law, European law, Australia Commonwealth, Commonwealth countries and other countries.
- Acts of Parliament are arranged chronologically. Within each calendar year the
 Acts are arranged in chapter order, except that Private Acts and Church
 Assembly Measures and Bills are placed, in that order, after the Public General
 Acts of the same year.
- The calendar year should be stated first, followed by short title, regnal years (if appropriate; applies to Acts before 1963) and chapter number.
- Generally the table should be divided between general references to the Act and specific references to particular sections, etc. and their subdivisions. In this case the table will be set in two columns separated by a two-em space.
- Section, etc. numbers are put on the same line to save space whenever they are discussed on identical pages (or paragraphs) and the correct sequence of the subdivisions can be
- maintained. Section, etc. numbers are linked with an en dash only when those sections are discussed together; they must not be linked merely because more

- than two are consecutive. Tables of Statutes should also include references to the level of subsections, and Schedule paragraphs, where appropriate.
- Page or paragraph numbers are linked with an en dash wherever the identical item is cited on more than two consecutive pages. Where paragraph numbers contain en dashes, the tabler will use an underscore to indicate to the typesetter the inclusion of a string of location references.
- The table should give reference to every page where the Act is cited in the work.
- Pages or paragraphs where sections, etc. are set out verbatim should be differentiated from the other references by setting them in bold.
- Parts of Acts should be listed before all section references (unless they are parts
 of Schedules in which case they follow Schedules), and Schedules to Acts follow
 sections.
- Commonwealth, European or Irish legislation may be put in a separate table. In the case of isolated references, however, they should be placed at the very end of the references for any year. Commonwealth and other foreign legislation will sometimes need to be in a different style.
- Continuation lines should be used where appropriate at the start of a new column.
- Legislation from the Scottish Parliament should be placed at the very end of the
 references for any year. Acts of the Scottish Parliament should always be given
 their asp number, e.g. Sea Fisheries (Shellfish) Amendment (Scotland) Act 2000
 (asp 12). Legislation emanating from the Scottish Parliament should not be
 confused with legislation emanating from Westminster but affecting Scotland,
 which will have a chapter number and be included in the main section of the
 tables with all other UK legislation.

Table of Statutory Instruments and Rules of Court (if applicable)

Generally the rules are the same as for statutes.

- The table will be set out in two columns.
- Rules of Court (RSC/CCR/CPR) should be dealt with in a separate table unless there are insufficient references to justify this.

If you are compiling legal tables that are unusual, please discuss with your production editor.

See the following pages for example of typeset legal tables. Please note this is provided to show how the final, typeset version will look rather than the Word version you need to submit to us.

Table of cases

China
Cases n 305-306 <i>Huawei v InterDigital</i> (Guangdong Higher People's Court,
2013)
Nokia / Microsoft (MOFCOM, Decision of 8 April 2014)
European Union
Case C-62/86 AKZO v European Commission [1991] ECR I-3359 12, 32, 168, 169
Akzo Chemie BV [1985] OJ L374/1168, 169
Case T-321/05 AstraZeneca AB v European Commission [2010] OJ C 221
Case 30/87 Bodson v Pompes Funebres [1988] ECR 2479 32, 34, 108, 111, 169,
220
Case C-95/04 P British Airways v European Commission [2007] ECR I-2331 12
15, 76
Case 226/84 British Leyland v European Commission [1986] ECR 3263 108, 111,
169, 220
Case T-282/02 Cementbouw Handel v European Commission [2006] ECR II-319 32
Case T-213/00 CMA CGM v European Commission [2003] ECR II–913
Joined Cases 6/73 and 7/73 Commercial Solvents v European Commission [1974] ECR 223
Joined Cases C 395/96 P and 396/96 P Compagnie Maritime Belge Transports v
European Commission [2000] ECR I-1365
Case 53/87 Consorzio Italiano della Componentistica di Ricambio per Autoveicoli and
Maxicar v Regie Nationale des Usines Renault [1990] 4 CMLR 265
Joined Cases 56/64 and 58/64 Consten & Grundig v European Commission
[1966] ECR 299
Case C-385/07 P Der Grune Punkt – Duales System Deutschland GmbH v European
Commission [2009] ECR I-6155
Case C-280/08 Deutsche Telekom AG v European Commission [2010] OJ C 346 32.
78
Case IV/35.006 ETSI Interim IPR Policy [1995] OJ C 76/5
Case T-374/94 European Night Services v European Commission [1998] ECR
П-3141

Case COMP/A.36.568/D3 Scandlines Sverige AB v Port of Helsingborg [2006] 4	
CMLR 22	8
Case 39.416 IACS - Ship Classification [2010] OJ C 2	
Case 56/65 Société Technique Minière v Maschinenbau Ulm [1966] ECR 235 10	1
Case 40/73 Suiker Unie v European Commission [1975] ECR 1663	6
Case T-202/98 Tate & Lyle v European Commission [2001] ECR II-2035	3
Case C-333/94P Tetra Pak v European Commission [1996] ECR I-59513	2
Case T-83/91 Tetra Pak v European Commission [1994] ECR II-755	
Case 39.247 Texas Instruments v Qualcomm [2009]	
Case T-504/93 Tierce Ladbroke SA v European Commission [1997] ECR	
II-923	3
Case 27/76 United Brands v European Commission [1978] ECR 207 32, 34, 76	ì,
108, 110, 168, 169, 172, 183, 22	0
Case 238/87 Volvo AB v Erik Veng (UK) [1989] 4 CMLR 12234, 41, 22	3
Case IV/31.458 X/Open Group [1987] OJ L 35	
Germany	
Case n 2 O 240/11 General Instrument v Microsoft Deutschland (Landgericht	
Mannheim, 2012)	
Case n 4b O 104/12 Huawei v $\mathcal{Z}TE$ (Landgericht Düsseldorf, 2013)11	4
Case n 7 O 122/11 Motorola Mobility v Apple Inc (Landgericht Mannheim,	
2011)11	
Case KZR 39/06 'Orange Book Standard' (Bundesgerichtshof, 2009)11	
Case n 2 O 41/13 Vringo v ZTE (Landgericht Mannheim, 2013)	4
Ta_1	
Italy Case of 45690 1 2011 Campung Floatronics of Atalla Ins/Tribunal of Milan 2012 11	1
Case n 45629-1-2011 Samsung Electronics v Apple Inc (Tribunal of Milan, 201211	4
Japan	
Cases n 2013 (Ra) 10007, 2013 (Ra) 10008 and 2013 (Ne) 10043 Samsung	
Electronics v Apple Inc (Japanese IP High Court Tokyo, 2013)	1
Korea	
Samsung Electronics Co, Ltd (Korean Fair Trade Commission, Decision of 26	
February 2014)12	2
The Netherlands	
Samsung Electronics v Apple Inc, Doc n 400367/HA ZA 11-2212 (The Hague	
District Court, 2012)	3
II	
United Kingdom Atthorages v. Poitish House Paging Roard, [2007] FWCA 29	2
Attheraces v British Horse Racing Board, [2007] EWCA 38	
IPCom v Nokia, [2012] EWHC 1446 (Ch)	4
Nokia v Interdigital Technology, [2007] EWHC 3077	
J νυκια υ 11 Guiit, [4003] Εννίτο 3τος	U

Table of legislation

European Union
Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, [2010] OJ C
83/389
Commission Regulation No 773/2004, [2004] OJ L 123/18178
Council Regulation No 17/62, [1962] OJ 13/204
Council Regulation No 1/2003, [2003] OJ L 1/1
Directive of the Parliament and of the Council 2009/24/EC, [2009] OJ L 111/16
Directive of the Parliament and of the Council 2004/48/EC, [2004] OJ L 195/16
Parliament and Council Regulation No 1025/2012, [2012] OJ L 316/12 54, 58, 184, 187
Protocol on Internal Market and Competition, Annexed to the Treaty on the European Union (TEU) and the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU), [2007] OJ C 306/156
Treaty of Lisbon, amending the Treaty on European Union and the Treaty establishing the European Community (2007), [2007] OJ C 306/01
Treaty of Rome establishing the European Economic Community (1957), as amended in accordance with the consolidated version of the Nice Treaty, [2002] OJ C 325/1
United States
Federal Trade Commission Act (1914), 15 US Code 127, 155, 162, 221, 224
Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (1948), 35 US Code 43, 123, 155
Sherman Act (1890), 15 US Code
Standards Development Organization Advancement Act (2004), 15 US
Code
Tariff Act (1930), 19 US Code
Others
Agreement on Trade Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPs), Annex 1C to the Marrakesh Agreement establishing the World Trade Organization (WTO), 33 ILM 1197 (1994)30, 43, 89, 123